HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT OFFICE

FIRST QUATER MONITORING REPORT ON IPAS COMPLIANCE

BY CIVIL SERVANTS

IN THE WESTERN AREA



9th – 22nd March, 2021



EETOWN

SUPPORT TO THE GOVERNANCE SECTOR IN SIERRA LEONE





European Development Fund -SL/FED/38586

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY	Human Resource Management Office
CONTACT ADDRESS	Ministerial Building, George Street, Freetown
REPORT TITLE	First Quarter Monitoring Report on IPAS Compliance by Civil Servants in the Western Area
PAGES	1-23
DATE OF ISSUE	30 th April, 2021
PREPARED BY	The Performance Management Directorate Team
APPROVED BY	Director General, Human Resource Management Office

TABLE OF CONTENT

1.	Introduction	4
	Background/ Purpose of the visit	
	Specific objectives	
	Target Group	
5.	Accomplishment,,,,	5
6.	Courtesy calls	5
	Meetings attended	
8.	MDAs visited	5
9.	Highlights of the opening meetings attended	5
	Monitoring criteria	
11.	Findings and observations	7
	What worked well	
13.	Lessons learnt	22
14.	Delivery challenges,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	22
15.	Conclusions and recommendations	.22

INTRODUCTION

This report is based on monitoring of target setting of MDAs in the Western Area. The exercise started on the 9th March and ended 22rd March, 2021. The Performance Management Directorate team comprised of the Director, Performance Management who was the Team Lead, the Deputy Secretary, Senior Monitoring and Evaluation Officer, Senior Assistant Secretary, Assistant Secretary and a driver.

PURPOSE OF THE VISIT

Individual Performance Appraisal System (IPAS) is an annual exercise that runs from 1st January to 31st December each appraisal year. In late December, 2020 and early January 2021, correspondences were sent across MDAs informing them to set their 2021 Individual Performance Targets for Civil Servants and Contract Officers in Grades 1 to 10 not later than 15th January, 2021.

On the 2nd March, 2021, another correspondence was sent across MDAs with an attached schedule of Performance Management Team's visit to track progress in respect of 2021 Individual Performance Target Setting for Civil Servants and Contract Officers in Grades 1 to 10 for effective and efficient service delivery.

IPAS is predicated upon the principles of work planning, setting of mutually agreed targets, feedback and finally reporting. It is linked to other critical functions such as placement, staff development, career progression, incentives and sanctions.

Coordinating and monitoring implementation of these targets and the entire Individual Performance Appraisal System (IPAS) in the Sierra Leone Civil Service is a critical component of the Performance Management System in the human resource management functions

The purpose of the visit was to engage Civil Servants across MDAs on IPAS and track progress made in the respective MDAs on target setting and to promote "MDA Ownership", experience sharing, increase understanding of the IPAS implementation, challenges facing the MDAs, and also to increase transparency and accountability of the MDAs.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES

The specific objectives include:

- Update on the status of the targets setting
- The administrative structure of the IPAS
- The functionality of MPAC across MDAs
- To manage expectations of the MDAs
- To assess first quarter IPAS implementation
- Identify and resolve potential challenges on the implementation of IPAS may encounter

TARGET GROUP

IPAS targets all Civil Servants and Contract Staff in Grades 1 to 10 across MDAs

Courtesy calls on:

During the visit, the monitoring team paid courtesy calls on the Permanent Secretaries, Deputy Secretaries and Human Resource Officers of various MDAs. The Performance Management Monitoring Team held meetings with Human Resource Officers to inform then about the purpose of visit. Thirty-seven MDAs in the Western Area were visited. (See Annexes).

HIGHLIGHTS OF OPENING CEREMONY MEETING

MDAs Engagement Meeting:

There were brief meetings held at each MDA visited. The meetings were chaired by the Human Resource Officers, Deputy Secretaries and in some cases the Permanent Secretaries.

In these meetings, the Director of Performance Management who is also the team lead from HRMO briefed members about the purpose of the visit, the need to have a functional Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee, the consequences of not complying with targets setting and the status of IPAS,

The host MDAs thanked the team from HRMO for the monitoring visit. The host MDAs furthered that the compliance level was increasing but the commitment was low because majority of the staff expressed dissatisfaction over the low and huge salary disparities. They said they have set targets on several occasions but they were neither assessed nor given feedback by their supervisors.

They also stated that for IPAS to succeed HRMO and the Leadership of the Civil Service need to sanction poor performers and reward good performers.

At the end of the meetings, MDAs had clear understanding of the purpose of the first quarter monitoring, their roles and responsibilities on targets setting and IPAS as a whole.

MONITORING CRITERIA

The HRMO IPAS Monitoring Team looked at certain criteria that are not limited to the following:

- ➤ The functionality of the Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee (MPAC)
- > The compliance level of targets setting and the Performance Management Policy and Guidelines
- ➤ The commitment of Civil Servants and Contract Officers in Grades 1 to 10 to the Individual Performance Appraisal System (IPAS)
- ➤ Assessment of staff that have set 2021 targets.
- ➤ The SMART level of the targets set by Civil Servants and Contract Officers in Grades 1 to 10

FINDINGS AND OBSERVATIONS:

SN	М	DA	FINDIN	GS	CHAI	LLENGES
1	Minist	ry of Agriculture	•	Good number of staff at HQ have set targets	•	There is still lack of
	and Fo	prestry		for 2021 (See annex A)		commitment by
			•	Compliance level is increasing	•	some officers. More training
			•	Majority of targets verified were SMART		on IPAS needed.

		Leadership support to IPAS is improving	Poor motivation
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee is not functional	
		• Salary disparities' across MDAs pose	
		serious challenge to IPAS implementation	
		• A large number of staff still need training on	
		IPAS	
2	Ministry of Health&	• Awareness on IPAS is increasing among	A large number of staff still lack
	Sanitation	staff especially when it is now tied to the	the knowledge
		Annual Vacation Leave	in target settingLate release of
		• An encouraging number of staff have set	funds to support the
		targets for 2021 (See annex A)	implementation of IPAS
		• Compliance level has improved as 5057	No funds for
		officers had set targets compared to the	training on IPAS
		previous year with 1100	• IPAS activities are not factored
		• Majority of targets assessed lacked the	in the MDAs
		SMART features	budgetsSalary
		• Leadership support to IPAS is improving	disparities
3	Ministry of Lands and	• Good number (124 out of 273) of the staff	Lack of
	Country Planning	set targets for 2021 (See annex A)	functional Ministerial
		• Compliance level is increasing	Performance Appraisal
		• Commitment is low due to low	Committee Lack of proper
		remuneration and salary disparity.	working tools

		Majority of the targets assessed lack the	
		SMART features	
		Leadership support to IPAS is improving	
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee is not that functional	
4	Ministry of Mines &	Good number of staff at headquarters have	Low knowledge
	Mineral Resources	set targets for 2021 (See annex A)	in targets setting Lack of
		Commitment level is still low due to lack of	rewards and sanctions
		rewards	
		Compliance level is improving	
		Majority of the targets verified were	
		SMART	
		Leadership support to IPAS is improved	
		Lacks functional Ministerial Performance	
		Appraisal Committee.	
5	Ministry of Trade &	A total of 138 officers set targets for 2021	■ Inadequate
	Industry	Assigned staff refused to set targets with	resources to implement set
		their supervising MDAs	targets ■ Lack of
		• Commitment is low	knowledge on SMART target.
		Majority of the officers only stated their	Inadequate
		schedule of duties instead of setting	accommodation for staff
		SMART targets	 Low motivation

		Compliance level is increasing	
6	Ministry of Fisheries and Marine Resources	 Good number of staff at HQ have set targets for 2021 Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee is not functional Compliance level has improved as compared to last year. Leadership support to IPAS has improved. 	 IPAS training for lower grades needed Poor motivation
7	Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development	 A total of 32 out of 41 staff set targets for 2021 Commitment is low Compliance level has increased due to the Annual Vacation Leave being tied to target setting The Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee exist but not functional Assigned staff refused to set targets with their supervising ministries Capacity of staff to set targets is low Leadership commitment on IPAS is increasing 	• The lack of functionality of MPAC
8	Ministry of Transport	A total of 41 out of 45 officers set targets	Lack of knowledge in IPAS

	and Aviation	Commitment level is low	
		Compliance level is encouraging as	Poor motivation
		compared to past years	
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		Leadership support to IPAS has improved.	
9	Ministry of Sports	A total of 26 officers set targets	Weak knowledge on
		• Commitment is low	target setting
		Compliance level has improved as	Low motivationLack of reward
		compared to previous year.	and sanctions
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		Tailored-made training needed on IPAS for	
		officers	
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee had met once	
		Leadership commitment to IPAS has	
		increased.	
10	Ministry of Youths	A total of 62 out of 84 officers set targets	■ Lack of working
	Affairs	The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	tools • Poor motivation
		Committee not functional	Absence of feedback
		Contract staff do not show commitment to	 The conduct of mid and annual reviews

		 IPAS Assigned staff refused to set targets with their supervising Ministry Officers lack equipment to carry out assigned duties Commitment is low Compliance level has increased Some of the targets verified were not SMART Leadership commitment to IPAS has increased 	 Nonfunctional MPAC
11	Ministry of Sports	 Good number of staff set targets for 2020 (See annex A) Commitment and compliance level is high 70% of targets verified were SMART Leadership support to IPAS is high 	 Understaffed Poor conditions of service Lack of proper working tools
12	Ministry of Tourism	 A total of 89 out of 96 officers set targets Training needed for the junior cadre as they lack basic targets setting skills Supervisors lack the skills to carryout reviews There is no functional Ministerial 	 Poor conditions of service Lack of targets setting skills Commitment on the side of the supervisors on IPAS

		Performance Appraisal Committee	
		 Assigned staff do not set targets with 	
		supervisors in their duty stations	
		• Commitment is low due to lack of rewards	
		 Compliance level has increased 	
		• Some of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		• Leadership commitment to IPAS is	
		improving	
13	Ministry of Water	• A total of 61 staff out of 93 have set targets	Lack of commitment
	Resources	• The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	from some
		Committee is functional	supervisors towards IPAS
		• Commitment is low	
		• Compliance level has improved	
		• Some of the targets verified are not SMART	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
14	Ministry of Higher and	• A total of 32 out of 58 staff set targets	Lack of motivation
	Technical Education	• Commitment is low due to lack of reward	• Lack of
		Compliance level is high due to linking the	resources to implement set
		Annual Vacation Leave to the setting of	targets • Weak
		targets	knowledge on targets setting
		Majority of the targets verified were not	targets setting

		SMART	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
		• The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee is not functional	
15	Ministry of Basic and	Good number of staff set targets	■ Lack of
	Senior Secondary	• Commitment is low	knowledge in
		Compliance level is encouraging	IPAS for many officers
		• Staff lack the skills on targets setting	WeakMinisterial
		• The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	Performance Appraisal
		Committee not functional	Committee
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
16	Ministry of Social	• 148 staff set targets	■ Internet
	Welfare	Most staff targets are based on cut and paste	accessibilityInadequate staff
		from the previous year's targets without	Lack of motivation
		proper editing	
		Compliance level increased	
		• Commitment level is low	
		• Staff completing the whole form at one go	
		 Most of the targets verified were not 	
		SMART	

		Staff need an on-site training on target	
		setting	
		• The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee met once	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
17	Ministry of Works and	A total of 148 officers have complied with	Conflict of roles
	Public Assets	target setting	among supervisors
		• Commitment low	Most of the lower cadre is
		 Compliance level has improved as 	illiterate Knowledge gap
		compared to the past year	for some
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	supervisors in IPAS
		Committee is not functional	Poor motivationWeak MPAC
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		• There is conflict of role on who should	
		supervise who	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
18	Ministry of Labour and	Good number of staff have set targets	Lack of knowledge in
	Social Security	Majority of the targets verified were not	IPAS
		SMART	
		Commitment level low	
		Compliance level is encouraging	
			1

		Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
19	Ministry of Foreign	The is no functional Ministerial	■ Lack of
	Affairs and	Performance Appraisal Committee	knowledge in target setting
	International	A good number of staff have set targets	and conducting appraisal
	Cooperation	Most of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		Staff lack the skills on targets setting	
		There is need for training on target setting	
		for all the categories of staff	
		Leadership commitment on IPAS is	
		encouraging	
20	Office of the Vice	Contract staff are not committed to target	■ Top level
	President	setting	management influence on
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	lower cadre Nonfunctional
		Committee is not functional	MPAC
		Compliance from the lower cadre is low	
		since they have top level management	
		influence	
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		Leadership support to IPAS is very	
		encouraging	

21	Cabinet Secretariat	 A total of 40 officers have set targets out of 48 Compliance level has improved as compared to past year Some of the targets verified were not SMART Leadership support to IPAS is very high 	Delay in the release of allocation
22	Office of the President	 Good number of staff have set targets Commitment and compliance level is high Some of the targets verified were not SMART There is need for a functional Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee Staff trained on IPAS are cascading the training to other officers Leadership support to IPAS is high. 	The lower cadre are not killed in targets setting
23	Ministry of Defence	 Compliance level improved Lack printing materials for IPAS Majority of the junior cadre is highly illiterate The Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee is not functional 	■ Lack of resources to implement set targets

		Knowledge on IPAS has improved	
		1 Mowledge on 117 to has improved	
		• Some officers still have challenges in setting	
		SMART targets	
		Majority of the targets verified were	
		SMART	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is improving	
24	Ministry of Internal	Most of the targets verified were not	■ Inadequate
	Affairs	SMART	resources Lack of proper
		• Lack of funds to implement targets set	working tools
		Compliance level is improving	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging	
		• Lack proper working tools/equipment	
25	Immigration	Lack of commitment due to salary	 Lack of reward
	Department	disparities and lack of reward	for hard work Salary disparity
		Some officers lack the knowledge on IPAS	
		Some officers set targets, conduct mid and	
		annual reviews at the same time	
		Assigned staff do not set targets with their	
		supervising agency	
		Leadership commitment is encouraging	
26	Ministry of Energy	All 55 staff complied with IPAS	
		• There is no functional Ministerial	

		Performance Appraisal Committee	
		Commitment level is low	
		Leadership support to IPAS is high	
27	Ministry of Justice	The team visited the Ministry but the officer	
		in charge was not readily available to	
		provide information as at that time	
28	Ministry of Finance	A good number of staff complied with	Lower grades
		IPAS	are illiterateMost staff are
		Commitment level is low	not committed
		Some forms not signed by the supervisors	
		Majority of the targets set were not SMART	
		The Ministerial Performance Appraisal	
		Committee is not functional	
		Officers set target and carry out review at	
		the same time	
		Officers lack skills in setting SMART	
		targets	
		A good number of officers do not comply	
		Leadership support on IPAS is high	

29	Office of the Administrator and Registrar General	 Some officers set targets but the forms were not signed by both the supervisors and appraisees The indicators were not clearly stated in the forms 	Knowledge gap in IPAS
30	National Fire Force	 Most of the targets set were in soft copies The Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee is not functional Printing equipment and stationery challenges Onsite training needed on IPAS Most of the targets verified were not SMART Leadership support to IPAS is encouraging 	 Knowledge gap in setting targets and conducting appraisal
31	Accountant General's Department	Compliance rate is encouraging.	 No challenges
32	Ministry of Planning and Economic	 97 officers complied with IPAS The Ministerial Performance Appraisal 	

	Development	Committee is not functional	
		Most of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		• The leadership commitment to IPAS is	
		encouraging	
33	Ministry of Gender and	• Some of the targets set were not signed by	 No challenges
	Children's Affairs	the supervisors	
		• Issue of staff not knowing whether they are	
		in the Ministry of Gender and Children's	
		Affairs or the Ministry of Social Welfare	
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is very high	
34	Public Sector Reform	Majority of the staff set targets	
	Unit	• Commitment and compliance level is high	
		Majority of the targets verified were	
		SMART	
		• Leadership support to IPAS is very high	
	Government Printing	Most of the staff are not committed to IPAS	Lack of commitment
	Department	Training on target setting needed	from officers
		• Late disbursement of funds from the	
		Ministry of Finance	

35	Management Office • Refres	Most of the staff have set targets	 Lack of commitment from staff Weak knowledge in targets setting
		Refresher training on target setting is needed	
		for both senior and junior cadres	
		Staff do not set targets on time	
		Majority of the targets verified were not	
		SMART	
		There is functional Ministerial Performance	
		Appraisal Committee	
36	Ministry of Information	Majority of the targets set were not SMART	 Supervisors are not committed
	and Communications	Commitment from staff is low due to poor	to the process
		remunerations	
		Weak knowledge in targets setting	
		No functional Ministerial Performance	
		Appraisal Committee	
37	Ministry of Political	Commitment of staff is low due to poor	 Late disbursement of allocation
	and Public Affairs	remunerations	
		No functional Ministerial Performance	
		Appraisal Committee	
		Weak knowledge in targets setting	
		Majority of the targets set were not SMART	

WHAT WORKED WELL?

• The Director, Performance Management Directorate being the team lead

- The warm reception from heads of MDAs
- The early notification of MDAs
- The commitment of the Director General, HRMO and the general leadership of the Civil Service

LESSONS LEARNED

- ❖ Target setting without reward or sanctions will lower commitment level of staff
- ❖ Most Civil Servants are not committed to the process
- ❖ The disparities in the salary will undermine the process
- ❖ Late disbursement of funds affects the implementation of targets set
- ❖ Majority of the supervisors lack the skills on target setting and conducting appraisal
- ❖ The non-functionality of the Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee affects the implementation of IPAS

DELIVERY CHALLENGES

• Commitment of staff due to salary disparities.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- The Director General, HRMO to conduct leadership meetings to enforce the need for functional Ministerial Performance Appraisal Committee in all MDAs,
- To conduct further training for Human Resource Officers and focal persons on IPAS across MDAs,
- The heads of MDAs to increase on their commitment on the IPAS process,
- The Ministry of Finance to release budgetary allocation early enough to enable IPAS implementation at MDA level,
- More training needed across MDAs to enable officers to fully understand the essence of IPAS on public service delivery,
- The leadership of the Civil Service to speed up the salary harmonization process
- The leadership of the Civil Service to introduce rewards and sanctions on IPAS

Submitted by:

Usman C. Conteh

Director, Performance Management Directorate

30th March, 2021